

**Spencer, Martha**

---

**From:** Eugene Perricelli [ceperr@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 20, 2008 7:48 AM  
**To:** Spencer, Martha  
**Subject:** For the Planning Commissioners:The Future of Humboldt, in General... .

Without exception, Alternative A options in the proposed General Plan Update outline a future which protects and preserves our environmental underpinnings and provide for sustainable living into the future. It is never "jobs versus the environment" for without a healthy environment, jobs are eventually gone. It is just a matter of short term profit or long term well being. Jobs and development alternatives which pollute our air and water and decimate out land based resources will never provide for the general public's physical or fiscal health over the long term. Witness the Palco fiasco. Humboldt harbored a parasite and came out of the process LESS healthy and able to provide for its own into the future. Our whole country is at a turning point, and if we don't change from an extractive economy based on historically artificially cheap fossil energy and wasteful use of renewable resources, we will continue to regress instead of progress. The future is ours to save or spoil. The options represented in Alternative A will best serve the long term future health and carrying capacity of our local ecosystems. We have the opportunity to help lead our state and country into a more hopeful and sustainable paradigm by embracing long term sustainability and local well being.

Thank you for your attention to my thoughts.

Claire Perricelli  
Eureka