

## **Response to Letter 1 from Thomas K. Matson, Director of Humboldt County Public Works**

**Response to comment 15-1:** This comment states that the report should be proofread regarding data and grammar. The document has been thoroughly proofread and the data reviewed and revised as necessary.

**Response to comment 15-2:** This comment states that OCI for Tompkins Hill Road should be adjusted given that this road was recently improved. The Condition Description for Tompkins Hill Road in Table 2 6, Roadway Condition Estimates by USA, has been changed to “Good.”

**Response to comment 15-3:** This comment states that “equestrian “should be added to discussions regarding multi-modal uses for roadways. Equestrian as a multi-modal use of roadways has been added to Section 2.1, Description on page 2-1 and Section 2.8, Issues to be Addressed in the General Plan Update, on page 2-31

**Response to comment 15-4:** This comment suggests that the reference to Pound Road should be changed to Herrick Road. The reference to Pound Road has been changed to Herrick Road in Table 2-3B, Example USA Roadway Segments from the GEATM Showing 2005, Sketch Plan-3 2030 (Build-Out), scenario V/C Ratios of Greater than 90%.

**Response to comment 15-5:** This comment suggests that the reference to projects, such as the Marina Center, should be accompanied by parcel numbers so that future readers can locate the projects. Rather than parcel numbers, names of streets that make up the approximate boundaries of project sites have been provided as locations for reference purposes.

**Response to comment 15-6:** This comment suggests that Table 2-1 (the commenter is likely referring to Table 2-9 or Table 2-10) should include a note that listed projects and associated information is conceptual and that traffic modeling for the EIR may result in changes, revisions, or deletions of project on the list. This note has been added to the narrative on page 2-26.

**Response to comment 15-7:** This comment states that a detailed traffic engineering report will need to be completed as part of the General Plan update. This comment has been added to the narrative on page 2-26.

**Response to comment 15-8:** This comment states that storm drainage master plans should be completed for areas where significant development is planned. This comment has been added to Section 4.6, Issues to be Addressed in the General Plan Update, in Section 4, Storm Drainage & Flood Control.